


UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIANOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES

TO: ☐ U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE / ☒ U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE
 FROM: R. Mullin, Deputy Clerk RECEIVED DATE: 6/25/2008
 CASE NO.: 08cv0408 WQH DOCUMENT FILED BY: Plaintiff
 CASE TITLE: Daughtery v. Wilson, et al
 DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Request for Discovery and Production of Documents...

Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Local Rule	Discrepancy
<input type="checkbox"/> 5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation
<input type="checkbox"/> 5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper
<input type="checkbox"/> 5.4	Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1	Missing table of contents
<input type="checkbox"/> 15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself
<input type="checkbox"/> 30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order
<input type="checkbox"/>	Supplemental documents require court order
<input type="checkbox"/>	Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> X	<u>OTHER: Unless filing is ordered by the court, no discovery (ie: depositions, interrogatories and requests for admissions) are filed in the district court.</u>

Date forwarded: 6/25/2008

ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- ☐ The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.
- ☒ The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.

Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate? ☒ Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers ☐

Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to Local Rule 83.1

CHAMBERS OF: Major

Dated: 6/27/08
 cc: All Parties

By: law clerk

1 WILLIAM JOHN DAUGHTERY

2 CDCR# F-79985

3 CHUCKAWALLA VALLEY STATE PRISON

4 P.O. Box 2349 / DIO-1104P

5 BLYTHE, CALIFORNIA 92226

REJECTED

7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

8 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 WILLIAM JOHN DAUGHTERY | CIVIL CASE NO: 08CV0408 WQH (BLM)

11 , PLAINTIFF

12 V.

13 DENNIS WILSON, S.D.P.D.,

14 ESMERALDA TAGABAN, S.D.P.D.

15 SGT. GRIFFIN, S.D.P.D., CITY

16 OF SAN DIEGO, SAN DIEGO

17 POLICE DEPT.

18 → DEFENDANTS

DATE: 23 June 2008

20 I, WILLIAM JOHN DAUGHTERY, PETITIONER AND

21 PLAINTIFF IN ABOVE TITLED CASE, PROCEEDING PRO

22 SE AND IN FORMA PAUPERIS, DO HEREBY MOVE

23 AND REQUEST THE DEFENDANTS AND OR THEIR

24 ATTORNEY(S) OF RECORD, PRODUCE AND DELIVER INTO

25 POSSESSION OF THE PLAINTIFF, THE BELOW LISTED

26 DOCUMENTS, WRITINGS, DRAWINGS, GRAPHS, CHARTS

27 PHOTOGRAPHS, SOUND AND VIDEO RECORDINGS, TAPINGS,

28 ELECTRONICALLY OR MECHANICALLY STORED INFORMATION